

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/705,257	11/12/2003	Steven K. Lynch	16240.M306	3190
7590 08/01/2006			EXAMINER	
Joseph W. Berenato, III			GILBERT, WILLIAM V	
Liniak, Berenato & White, LLC 6550 Rock Spring Drive, Suite 240			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bethesda, MD 20817			3635	
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/01/200	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/705,257	LYNCH ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
		William V. Gilbert	3635	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence a	ddress
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COM FR 1.136(a). In no event, howeve on. period will apply and will expire SIX statute, cause the application to be	MUNICATION.  r, may a reply be timely filed  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) <u></u> ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un	This action is non-final.		ne merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the applicated Aa) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-54 are subject to restriction and on Papers	hdrawn from considerati		
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) object the drawing(s) be held in correction is required if the co	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). frawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)[ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bustee the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been receive ments have been receive priority documents have ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this Nationa )).	ıl Stage
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	8) Pa	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date btice of Informal Patent Application (PT	ΓO-152)
	r No(s)/Mail Date		her:	

Application/Control Number: 10/705,257

Art Unit: 3635

## DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-46, 50, drawn to a door skin and a door, classified in class 52, subclass 784.1.
  - II. Claims 47, 48, drawn to a method of producing a door, classified in class 52, subclass 745.19.
  - III. Claims 49, 51-54, drawn to a method of producing a door skin blank, classified in class 264.

Inventions I, II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process claims of Inventions II and III can be used to make a materially different product other than Invention I. Inventions II and III can be used to make doors other than the door claimed in Group I.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104.

Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during

Application/Control Number: 10/705,257

Art Unit: 3635

prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims.

Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Page 4

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William V. Gilbert whose telephone number is 571.272.9055. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 08:00 to 17:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 571.272.6842. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,257

Art Unit: 3635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

WVG 176/66

Robert Canfield
Primary Examines

Page 5